## Wave particle duality

# A.C. NORMAN anorman@bishopheber.cheshire.sch.uk

## Wave-particle duality

We have seen some phenomena, e.g. refraction, which can be explained by thinking of light behaving as though it were a wave. Other phenomena, such as the photoelectric effect, suggest that light comprises particles. In fact it is useful in some circumstances to describe light—and here we don't mean just the light we can see, but all sections of the electromagnetic spectrum—as a wave and in others look upon it as consisting of particles; these are both useful models that can be applied to different situations. We find a strong analogy here to the fable of the seven blind men who ran into an elephant. One man felt the trunk and said "the elephant is a rope"; another felt the leg and said "the elephant is a tree," and so on.

In 1924, Louis de Broglie (1892–1987) suggested that if light (being normally though of as a wave) can be thought of as particle, then things which we usually consider to be particles may have wavelike properties. If a 'particle' acts like a 'wave' then it must have an associated wavelength. This wavelength, de Broglie postulated, would be related to the momentum p of a particle by

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{mv},$$

where h is Planck's constant, and the wavelength  $\lambda$  became known as the de Broglie wavelength.

### Evidence supporting de Broglie's hypothesis

The first evidence in support of this came in 1927 when electron diffraction was observed by two separate teams of scientists, George Paget Thomson (who passed a beam of electrons

#### Comments on page 1

How does the particle 'act' like a wave?

So do animals with this scale of sensitivity see in this way?

In which way?

"we should have all seen very dim light of one colour as a series of intermittent flashes of equal intensity"... so organisms with very sensitive eyes see lots of flashes?

Comments on page 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The human eye is a very good instrument: it takes only five or six photons to activate a nerve cell and send a message to the brain. If we were evolved a little further so we could see ten times more sensitively, we shouldn't have to have this discussion: we should all have seen very dim light of one colour as a series of intermittent flashes of equal intensity, as the individual photons hit our retina.

through a thin metal film) at the University of Aberdeen, and Clinton Davisson and Lester Germer (who sent an electron beam through a crystalline grid) at Bell Labs in the US. This led to de Broglie being awarded the Nobel Prize for Physics in 1929 for his hypothesis. Thomson and Davisson shared the Nobel Prize for Physics in 1937 for their experimental work.

Davisson and Germer diffracted electrons from the surface of a nickel crystal. They accelerated electrons through a high voltage, and fired them at the crystal observing the reflected electrons. They observed a diffraction pattern as the planes of the crystal act like a diffraction grating. In their experiment, Davisson and Germer used 5000 V to accelerate the electrons, giving a de Broglie wavelength of  $1.7 \times 10^{-11}$  m (this is equivalent to an X-ray wavelength for light, so the electrons should behave similarly to X-rays).<sup>2</sup> Since this wavelength is approximately equal to the crystal plane spacing, diffraction occurs.

Since these early particle diffraction experiments, protons, neutrons, and hydrogen and helium atoms have been diffracted and thus shown to have wavelike properties. Larger everyday objects (often termed 'macroscopic') will not undergo diffraction as their wavelength turns out to be smaller that any possible diffraction setup (e.g. a snooker ball moving at  $1\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$  has a wavelength of approximately  $10^{-33}\,\mathrm{m}$ ).



 $<sup>^2 \</sup>text{The electrons}$  are given their kinetic energy by the accelerating voltage, so  $\frac{1}{2} m_e v^2 = eV$  or, rearranging,  $mv = \sqrt{2 m_e eV}$ . This allows us to determine the de Broglie wavelength  $\lambda = \frac{h}{mv} = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2 m_e eV}} = 1.7 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{m}$ .

2

#### COMMENTS ON PAGE 2

What is a diffraction grating?

I'm really not understanding how physical objects move in a wave...

Comments on page 2 2